



**TOWN OF CICERO**  
**SPECIAL TOWN COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**  
**October 16, 2012**  
**At 6:00 PM**

**Call To Order:** President Brett Foster called the meeting to order. Town Council were present or absent as follows:

**Present:** Brett Foster  
Jerry Cook  
Kay Hartley  
Eric Hayden  
Dennis Schruppf

**Absent:**

President Foster declared a quorum present.

**Others Present:** Jan Unger, Clerk Treasurer  
Pat Comer, Street & Utility Director  
Dave Hildebrand, Police Chief  
Paul Munoz, Plan Commission Director  
Keith Bryant, Town Engineer\

Mr. Foster stated that the purpose of this meeting was to discuss the Jackson Street Pedestrian Walkway.

Mr. Bryant explained to the Council that United Engineering has been reviewing the post bid numbers and discussing what might have driven the cost up on the bids received. Mr. Bryant stated that there appeared to be two main factors; the substructure and Duke risks.

Mr. Bryant discussed the substructure stated that the original plan was to drill the pylons. The more acceptable and familiar method is to drive in steel pylons and fill with cement. Mr. Bryant stated that by changing this feature the cost savings could be from \$300,000 to \$500,000 savings.

The second factor is Duke. United thinks that there may be an amount factored into the bids to accommodate risks that could be out of their control by Duke with regard to timing. Mr. Bryant stated that United is working with Duke to see if they can: 1. Get Duke to do all of the work do all of the work; and 2. See if the County would allow the lines to be connected to the County bridge instead of the walkway bridge. There was a discussion regarding the appearance of the steel posts. Mr. Comer inquired if they could be wrapped at a later date if it was desired and Mr. Bryant stated that they could. The Council agreed that the steel pylons were acceptable.

Mr. Bryant stated that with the revision on the in the pylons, lighting and plantings removal the cost of construction would be estimated to be \$2,800,000.

Mr. Schrumpf inquired, if with these changes, we might receive more bidders? Mr. Bryant stated that we should.

There was further discussion and then the Council moved on to a preliminary discussion on the ADA requirements for funding and grants.

Mr. Bryant stated that the MPO and the TE Grants were required that the Town become compliant with the ADA requirements of completing the Local Planning Agency Annual Pre-Award Certification & Assurance questionnaire; Complete the self evaluation & Inventory; appoint an ADA Coordinator; and prepare a Transition Plan. Mr. Bryant stated that the Town should complete this before 12-31-2012.

Mr. Foster stated that this discussion would continue at the Town Council meeting following this meeting.

Mr. Foster adjourned the special meeting.

Mr. Bryant's Discussion Points on the Jackson Street Pedestrian Walkway attached.

***Signatures of the Council:***

\_\_\_\_\_  
Brett S. Foster – President

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jerry G. Cook- Vice-President

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sylvia Kay Hartley

\_\_\_\_\_  
Eric C. Hayden

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dennis D. Schrumpf

**Attested:** \_\_\_\_\_  
Jan Unger, Clerk Treasurer

**Cicero – Jackson Street Walk Path Meeting**

**October 11, 2012**

**Discussion Points**

1. Project Progress: United has performed the following activities in recent weeks in regards to the project:
  - a. Reviewed Post bid numbers
  - b. Evaluated potential scope changes in order to reduce costs
  - c. Discussed potential cost saving measures with contractors
  - d. Submitted a TE and STP application for additional funds
  - e. Discussed alternate foundations with INDOT
  - f. Approved a supplement to allow our geotechnical engineering subconsultant to evaluate design impacts for alternate foundations (piles)
  - g. Additional coordination with Duke Energy
2. Budget Estimates with Scope changes
  - a. Contractor numbers used as well as independent United estimate based upon historical data.
  - b. Largest issues appear to be the substructure and Duke risk.
  - c. \$2,800,000 construction – with structural changes and lighting and plantings removed.
  - d. Duke impacts – very rough cost included for prior relocation by Duke – Overhead at bridge or below County Bridge?
  - e. Consider Jackson Street paving aesthetics liability reduction, additional daily total shut downs (have 30 days night now)
  - f. Contractor competition / other project impacts
3. Budget / Financing
  - a. Approved TE funds of \$361,350 / require Town match of \$90,338 for total additional funding of \$451,688
  - b. At \$2,800,000 construction shortfall of \$225,313
  - c. Additional STP fund request of \$369,850 / require Town match of \$92,462 for an additional funding of \$914,000 total (including TE funds approved)
4. Additional Funding – MPO Meetings
  - a. October 17 and 31.
  - b. Town Council, Pat, Jan, Charlie, Dave Hildebrand, etc. available? Need to show support, need and efforts to reduce costs.
5. Schedule – MPO / Duke



**CICERO TOWN COUNCIL MEETING**

**Thursday, October 11, 2012**

<b>ORIGINAL BUDGET</b>	<b>TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET</b>	<b>80% FEDERAL FUNDING</b>	<b>20% TOWN OF CICERO FUNDING</b>
CONSTRUCTION COST	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 450,000
CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION	\$ 275,000	\$ 220,000	\$ 55,000
UTILITY RELOCATION	\$ 400,000	\$ 320,000	\$ 80,000
<b>TOTAL PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COST (MPO PROGRAMMED)</b>	<b>\$ 2,925,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,340,000</b>	<b>\$ 585,000</b>

  

<b>REVISED COST ESTIMATE</b>	<b>TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET</b>	<b>80% FEDERAL FUNDING</b>	<b>20% TOWN OF CICERO FUNDING</b>
CONSTRUCTION COST (DOES NOT INCLUDE LIGHTS OR PLANTS)	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,240,000	\$ 560,000
CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION	\$ 275,000	\$ 220,000	\$ 55,000
ORIGINAL UTILITY RELOCATION	\$ 367,000	\$ 293,600	\$ 73,400
ADDITIONAL DUKE UTILITY RELOCATION	\$ 160,000	\$ 128,000	\$ 32,000
<b>TOTAL PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COST</b>	<b>\$ 3,602,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,881,600</b>	<b>\$ 720,400</b>

  

TOTAL PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COST DIFFERENCE	\$ 677,000	\$ 541,600	\$ 135,400
ADD'TL T.E. FUNDS ALREADY AWARDED	\$ 451,688	\$ 361,350	\$ 90,338
ADD'TL STP FUNDS REQ'D FOR CURRENT ESTIMATE	\$ 225,313	\$ 180,250	\$ 45,063
ADD'TL STP FUNDS ALREADY REQUESTED	\$ 462,312	\$ 369,850	\$ 92,462

<b>1. COST ELIMINATIONS</b>	<b>BEATY COSTS</b>	<b>MILESTONE COSTS</b>	<b>REITH RILEY COSTS</b>
ELIMINATE LIGHTING	\$ 101,850	\$ 99,450	\$ 147,800
ELIMINATE PLANTINGS	\$ 32,528	\$ 30,715	\$ 30,146
DUKE RELOCATES TO THE NORTH SIDE OF THE ROAD - UG**	\$ 151,050	\$ 142,500	\$ 111,150
<b>TOTAL COST ELIMINATIONS</b>	<b>\$ (285,428)</b>	<b>\$ (272,665)</b>	<b>\$ (289,096)</b>

\*\* 8" Conduit for Duke relocation not required

<b>2. CHANGE DRILLED SHAFTS TO PILES</b>	<b>BEATY COSTS</b>	<b>MILESTONE COSTS</b>	<b>REITH RILEY COSTS</b>
ELIMINATE DRILLED SHAFT COSTS	\$ (557,304)	\$ (838,953)	\$ (758,895)
ADD PILE COST	\$ 237,300	\$ 237,300	\$ 237,300
ADD WALL PIERS AT RESERVOIR	\$ 52,639	\$ 52,639	\$ 52,639
ADD REBAR COSTS	\$ 10,075	\$ 10,075	\$ 10,075
<b>TOTAL COST ADJUSTMENTS</b>	<b>\$ (257,290)</b>	<b>\$ (538,939)</b>	<b>\$ (458,881)</b>

<b>3. CHANGE DT TO TYPE 3 I-BEAM (LEAVE TRUSS)</b>	<b>BEATY COSTS</b>	<b>MILESTONE COSTS</b>	<b>REITH RILEY COSTS</b>
ELIMINATE DOUBLE TEES	\$ (366,885)	\$ (591,750)	\$ (520,740)
ELIMINATE CONCRETE OVERLAY	\$ (87,780)	\$ (277,970)	\$ (294,063)
SUBSTRUCTURE CONCRETE COSTS REVISED	\$ (128,250)	\$ (162,000)	\$ (172,800)
ADD REBAR COSTS	\$ 64,469	\$ 64,469	\$ 64,469
ADD CONCRETE C DECK SUPERSTRUCTURE COSTS	\$ 200,571	\$ 200,571	\$ 200,571
ADD TYPE 3 I-BEAM COSTS	\$ 532,575	\$ 532,575	\$ 532,575
<b>TOTAL COST ADJUSTMENTS</b>	<b>\$ 214,701</b>	<b>\$ (234,104)</b>	<b>\$ (189,987)</b>

<b>CHANGE SUPERSTRUCTURE &amp; SUBSTRUCTURE (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	<b>BEATY COSTS</b>	<b>MILESTONE COSTS</b>	<b>REITH RILEY COSTS</b>
ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE	\$ 3,164,000	\$ 3,797,000	\$ 4,184,400
TOTAL COST ADJUSTMENTS - CHANGE SUBSTRUCTURE	\$ (257,290)	\$ (538,939)	\$ (458,881)
TOTAL COST ADJUSTMENTS - CHANGE SUPERSTRUCTURE	\$ 214,701	\$ (234,104)	\$ (189,987)
TOTAL COST ELIMINATIONS (LIGHTS AND PLANTINGS)	\$ (285,428)	\$ (272,665)	\$ (289,096)
<b>REVISED CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (1 + 2 + 3)</b>	<b>\$ 2,835,983</b>	<b>\$ 2,751,291</b>	<b>\$ 3,246,436</b>

<b>CHANGE SUBSTRUCTURE ONLY (1 + 2)</b>	<b>BEATY COSTS</b>	<b>MILESTONE COSTS</b>	<b>REITH RILEY COSTS</b>
ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE	\$ 3,164,000	\$ 3,797,000	\$ 4,184,400
TOTAL COST ADJUSTMENTS - CHANGE SUBSTRUCTURE	\$ (257,290)	\$ (538,939)	\$ (458,881)
TOTAL COST ELIMINATIONS (LIGHTS AND PLANTINGS)	\$ (285,428)	\$ (272,665)	\$ (289,096)
<b>REVISED CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (1 + 2)</b>	<b>\$ 2,621,282</b>	<b>\$ 2,985,396</b>	<b>\$ 3,436,423</b>